

The State of Utah

Department of Natural Resources

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

ROBERTL.	MORGAN
Executive	Director

LOWELL P. BRAXTON Division Director OLENE S. WALKER

Governor

GAYLE F. McKEACHNIE

Lieutenant Governor

Representatives	D4	B	41.	
Keolesenianves			the inc	nection:

OGM Priscilla Burton Environmental Scientist III

Inspection Report

Permit Number:	C0150015
Inspection Type:	COURTESY
Inspection Date:	Thursday, June 17, 2010
Start Date/Time:	6/17/2010 7:30:00 AM
End Date/Time:	6/17/2010 8:30:00 AM
Last Inspection:	Monday, June 14, 2010

Inspector: Priscilla Burton, Environmental Scientist III

Weather: sun 60 F

InspectionID Report Number: 2399

Types of Operations

Reprocessing

Date

Accepted by: jhelfric

6/24/2010

Permitee: CONSOLIDATION COAL CO

Operator:

Site: EMERY DEEP MINE

Address: ,

County: EMERY

Permit Type: PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM

Permit Status: ACTIVE

Current Acreages

Total Permitted	442.50
Total Disturbed	248.50
Phase I	
Phase II	-
Phase III	

Mineral Ownership

Other

✓ Federal ✓ Underground

☐ State ☐ Surface

☐ County ☐ Loadout

✓ Fee ☐ Processing

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

Walked the silt fence along the north fenceline to confirm repairs made to sections of the fence to abate N10057 which was issued 6/15/2010 for failure to maintain siltation structures. Mr. Adam Orrock and Mr. Hans Baanjter represented the company and accompanied me on this inspection.

Inspector's Signature

Priscilla Burton, Environmental Scientist III

Inspector ID Number: 37

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

Monday, June 21, 2010

Permit Number: C0150015

Inspection Type: COURTESY

Inspection Date: Thursday, June 17, 2010

Inspection Continuation Sheet

Page 2 of 3

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
 - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
- 2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
- 3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performace standard listed below.
- 4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

		Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1.	Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale				
2.	Signs and Markers				
3.	Topsoil				
4.a	Hydrologic Balance: Diversions				
4.b	Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments				
4.c	Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures	V		V	
4.d	Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring				
4.e	Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations				
5.	Explosives				
6.	Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches				
7.	Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments				
8.	Noncoal Waste				
9.	Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	V		V	
10.					
11.	Contemporaneous Reclamation				
12.	Backfilling And Grading				
13.	Revegetation				
14.	Subsidence Control				
15.	Cessation of Operations				
16.a	Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing				
16.b	Roads: Drainage Controls				
17.	Other Transportation Facilities				
18.	Support Facilities, Utility Installations				
19.	AVS Check				
20.	Air Quality Permit				
21.	Bonding and Insurance				
22.	Other				

Permit Number: C0150015 Inspection Type: COURTESY

Inspection Date: Thursday, June 17, 2010

Page 3 of 3

4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures

Silt fence was recently replaced at northwest end of catch basin and at the low point where water exits the site. The catch basin was approximately 6 inches deep with water. For about 20 minutes, a steady flow of water was coming from the top of the water tank and exiting through the newly installed silt fence. Apparently the water tank regularly overflows, hence the wetland vegetation is thriving in the catch basin and along the ditch leading to the basin. I did not have the appropriate gear to check the installation of the silt fence in the 6 inch deep water. I did check the installation of the silt fence on higher ground. It was easily pulled loose from the sandy soil. We discussed the importance of placing the silt fence into a trench and burying with approximately 6 inches of soil to prevent water passing underneath the fence. When there is less water in the catch basin, I recommend a second look at the installation of the silt fence. Back at the mine office, I called John Gefferth on his cell phone as well as Karl Houskeeper on his cell phone to relate the details of the inspection.

9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues

I mentioned to Mr. Orrock and Mr. Baanjter that the wind fence requires maintenance. It is torn in several locations.